



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/035,607	10/035,607 12/28/2001		Peter F. Corbett	112056-0031	3778	
24267	7590	04/29/2005		EXAMINER		
		KENNA, LLP	KERVEROS, JAMES C			
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2133		
				DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/035,607	CORBETT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES C. KERVEROS	2133		

	JAMES C. KERVEROS	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	•
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completollowing time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	on SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		N ==== 4 4b = ==========================	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brid	if will not be entered	hoogusa
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	•
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			, 110 100000 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The talus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-85 and 87</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>86</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ance because;
12. $igsqcup$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.	Œ.	7- 1	
		GUY LAMARI PRIMARY EXAM	RE IINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Aplicant's arguments filed 4/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

1462105

Claims 1-85 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han et al. (US 6158017), as set forth in the Final Office Action, dated 2/7/2005.

Claim 86 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention, since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention (Claims 1-85 and 87), this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits, as indicated by the Election/Restrictions requirements, in the Final Office Action.

Applicant asserts that claim 86 is not a separately usable invention and is not distinct from the other claims. In response to Applicant's assertion, clearly, claim 86 has as separate utility directed to Electromagnetic signals propagating on a computer network, for carrying instructions for execution on a processor for the practice of a method for correcting failures in a storage array.

For, example, the feature of electromagnetic signals propagating on a computer network, can be separately exercised with another well known method of ECC for error detection and correction of failed signals associated with signal propagation of transmitting / receiving electromagnetic signals, in a wireless communication network.

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street,

Alexandria, VA 22314

Tel: (571) 272-3824, Fax: (571) 272-3824

Email: james.kerveros@uspto.gov

Date: 21 April 2005Office Action: Final Rejection

GUY LAMARRE PRIMARY EXAMINER